## **REMARKS**

Claims 143-185 are pending. Applicant has amended all the independent claims.

The Examiner has rejected claims 143, 151, 156, 163, 174, and 179-185 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazaridis in view of Wiley and claims 144-150, 152-155, 157-162, 164-173, and 175-178 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazaridis in view of Wiley and Chang. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections. Nevertheless, applicant has amended the independent claims to make more explicit that the data that is sent to the destination appliance is "data of the source appliance."

Applicant's technique allows a remote device to request a source appliance to send data of the source appliance to a destination appliance. The source appliance may have previously received data types that can be supported by the destination appliance. When requested to send its data, the source appliance includes the source data type with the data. Upon receiving the source data type and the data, the destination appliance can then identify routines for converting the data to the appropriate destination data type for rendering. Applicant's technique is particularly useful in environments such as home networking where a personal computer (i.e., remote device) may be used to direct a DVD player (i.e., source appliance) to send its data (i.e., video) to a certain computer (i.e., destination appliance) for display.

Lazaridis is directed to a technique in which content is sent from a sender's computer to a receiver's computer, which is ultimately redirected to a mobile computing device. Referring to Figure 1 of Lazaridis, content from a desktop 26 is sent to a user's desktop computer 10 which is then redirected to mobile computer 24. Lazaridis neither teaches nor suggests that a remote device requests a source appliance to send its content to a destination appliance as recited by the claims. Rather, Lazaridis's desktop 26, which is the source of content A, initiates the sending of its content to desktop computer 10.

Based upon the above amendments and remarks, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application and its early allowance. If the Examiner has any

questions or believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to call the undersigned at (206) 359-8548.

Respectfully submitted,

Perkins Coie LLP

Registration No. 33,273

Date: September | 7 2003

**Correspondence Address:** 

Customer No. 25096 Perkins Coie LLP P.O. Box 1247 Seattle, Washington 98111-1247 (206) 359-8000